HARD
empathy

The Leader's Guide

See Your People. Reset Expectations. Redeploy with Integrity.

"Empathy without accountability is enabling.
Accountability without empathy is cruelty."

by Davie Holt

HardEmpathy.com

Most Leaders Skip Two Steps

When someone on your team underperforms, the instinct is to go straight to the person. You pull them aside, give feedback, maybe write them up. But here's the problem: most of the time, you haven't earned the right to hold them accountable yet.

Hard Empathy is a practical system that forces leaders to check themselves and their systems before they ever point the finger at an employee. It's not soft. It's not about making people feel good. It's about making sure that when you do have the hard conversation, you're standing on solid ground — morally, operationally, and legally.

The Framework: See → Reset → Redeploy

Hard Empathy gives leaders a three-step sequence that moves from soft to structural — from understanding to action. You don't start with the org chart. You start with the human.

1

SEE — *Empathy First*

Before you address performance, see the person. Understand that every underperformer has a story. Use the 4 Developmental Quadrants to diagnose where they actually are — not where you wish they were.

2

RESET — *Mirror · Process · Person*

Earn the right to hold someone accountable. Check yourself first (Mirror), then the system (Process), and only then the individual (Person). This is where most leaders skip ahead — and get burned.

3

REDEPLOY — *Act with Integrity*

Make a clear-eyed decision from a position of strength. Retrain, reposition, reset the agreement, or exit — but do it knowing you did the work first. No guilt. No legal exposure. No regret.

> **WHY THIS ORDER MATTERS**
>
> Most managers jump straight to Person. Hard Empathy forces you to earn the right to go there. This isn't just good psychology — it's legal protection. If you ever need to terminate someone, you can document that you checked yourself and your systems first.

Step 1: SEE — The 4 Developmental Quadrants

Before you can address performance, you need to understand where someone actually is. People aren't static — they move through developmental stages. Treating a Disillusioned Learner the same way you'd treat a Reluctant Contributor will make things worse.

1

Enthusiastic Beginner

"I'm excited but I don't know what I don't know."

High motivation, low competence. They need clear direction, structured onboarding, and patience. Don't mistake enthusiasm for skill — invest in training early.

2

Disillusioned Learner

"I thought I'd be better at this by now."

Dropping motivation, growing competence. They're in the valley. They need coaching, encouragement, and proof of progress. This is where most managers lose people.

3

Peak Performer

"I know what I'm doing and I'm locked in."

High motivation, high competence. Give them autonomy, stretch assignments, and recognition. Don't micromanage — you'll drive them out.

4

Reluctant Contributor

"I can do this, I just don't want to anymore."

Low motivation, high competence. Something broke — burnout, misalignment, feeling unseen. This is your hardest conversation. They need to be heard before they'll re-engage.

Step 2: RESET — Mirror · Process · Person

This is the accountability checkpoint. Before you blame an employee, you must clear two gates first. If you skip Mirror or Process, you have no right to evaluate the Person.

M MIRROR — "Have I done MY job?"

Look at yourself first. The problem may start with you.

- ☐ Are expectations documented in writing?
- ☐ Have I given clear, specific feedback — not vague impressions?
- ☐ Is there a job description they've seen and acknowledged?
- ☐ Did I communicate my vision clearly?
- ☐ Would a reasonable person know what I expect?

P PROCESS — "Is the SYSTEM set up to win?"

Even if you communicated perfectly, is the infrastructure working?

- ☐ Are the tools and technology adequate?
- ☐ Is the workload realistic for one person?
- ☐ Are the leads, inputs, or resources quality?
- ☐ Is the schedule sustainable?
- ☐ Are there workflow bottlenecks outside their control?

P PERSON — "Only NOW do I evaluate them."

You've earned the right. Now diagnose with clarity.

- ☐ Which Developmental Quadrant are they in right now?
- ☐ What changed from when they were performing well?
- ☐ Have they been heard on their obstacles?
- ☐ Is this a skill gap or a will gap?
- ☐ What does the documentation support?

Step 3: REDEPLOY — Act with Integrity

Once you've completed Steps 1 and 2, you've earned the right to make a decision. You're no longer reacting — you're responding from a position of clarity, compassion, and documentation.

RETRAIN — The person has the will but not the skill. Invest in development.
They're an Enthusiastic Beginner or Disillusioned Learner. Your Mirror check confirmed you haven't given them what they need yet. Build a 30-day development plan with clear milestones.

REPOSITION — The person has skill but is in the wrong seat. Move them.
A Peak Performer in the wrong role looks like a Reluctant Contributor. Before you write them off, ask: is there a role where their strengths actually match the work?

RESET THE AGREEMENT — The relationship needs new terms. Have the conversation.
Be direct: "Here's what I need from you in the next 30/60/90 days. Here's what I commit to providing. If we both hold up our end, we move forward. If not, we have a different conversation."

EXIT — You've done the work. It's time to part ways.
This is never the first move — it's the last. But when you've checked Mirror, Process, and Person, and the answer is still clear, you can make this decision without guilt, legal exposure, or regret.

Quick Self-Audit: Before Your Next Hard Conversation

Run through these questions before you sit down with anyone on your team:

- ☐ Can I name which Developmental Quadrant this person is in right now?
- ☐ Have I documented expectations in writing — and confirmed they've seen them?
- ☐ Have I given specific, behavioral feedback (not vague impressions)?
- ☐ Have I checked whether the process or system is contributing to the problem?
- ☐ Am I making this decision from clarity — or from frustration?
- ☐ If this ended in termination, could I show I did Mirror and Process first?

Ready to Lead with Hard Empathy?

This guide is your starting point. Go deeper with our workshops, cohorts, and team training.

Visit HardEmpathy.com →